

HADAMARD TYPE VARIATION FORMULAS FOR THE EIGENVALUES OF THE η -LAPLACIAN AND APPLICATIONS

J. N. GOMES¹, M. A. M. MARROCOS² AND R. R. MESQUITA³

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider an analytic family of Riemannian structures on a compact smooth manifold M with boundary. We impose the Dirichlet condition to the η -Laplacian and show the existence of analytic curves of its eigenfunctions and eigenvalues. We derive Hadamard type variation formulas. As an application we show that for a subset of C^r -metrics, $1 \leq r < \infty$, all eigenvalues of η -Laplacian operator are generically simple. Moreover, we consider families of perturbations of domains in M and obtain Hadamard type formulas for the eigenvalues of the η -Laplacian also in this case. We also establish the generic simplicity of the eigenvalues of the η -Laplacian operator in this context.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [6] Berger derived formulas for the variations of the eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator using a smooth one-parameter family of Riemannian metrics $g(t)$ on a differentiable manifold M . Such formulas are known as Hadamard type variation formulas. In a seminal work, Uhlenbeck [17] proved important results on generic properties of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_g on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) without boundary. In order to prove her results on genericity of the eigenvalues of Δ_g , Uhlenbeck used the Thom transversality theorem. In our case, we work with the η -Laplacian and instead of applying topological theorems, we obtain our result by using the Hadamard type variations formulas.

Our motivation to look at the η -Laplacian is the following. By extending the Bochner formula for the η -Laplacian, we naturally give rise to an extension of the Ricci curvature tensor. It is the so-called Bakry-Émery-Ricci tensor given by $Ric_\eta = Ric + \nabla^2 \eta$. Now, this tensor naturally appears in the study of *Ricci flow* [9], *almost Ricci solitons* [3, 4], *CPE metrics* [5] and *quasi-Einstein metrics* [2]. Other two very interesting applications that are related to our work are [7] and [13]. We refer to the papers of J. Lott [12] and G. Perelman [14] for more geometric background of the η -Laplacian. It is natural to look for extensions of the Berger formulas for the η -Laplacian. Thus, our main goal in this paper is to derive Hadamard type formulas for the η -Laplacian and to present some applications.

We first impose the Dirichlet boundary condition and study the genericity properties of the eigenvalues for the η -Laplacian on M . This allows to obtain Hadamard type variation formulas (cf. Proposition 2 and 3). An important intermediate step in our approach is Lemma 2 which computes the variation rate of the η -Laplacian

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A05, 47A75; Secondary 35P05, 47A55.

Key words and phrases. η -Laplacian, Hadamard type formulas, Eigenvalues.

^{1,2,3}Partially supported by PRONEX/CNPq/FAPEAM-BR.

with respect to the metric variation. This lemma is an extension for the η -laplacian of a result due to Berger, and we offer a very simple proof. At the end we apply our Hadamard type formulas to obtain the following applications. The first one deals with the generic properties of the eigenvalues with respect to variation metrics.

Theorem 1. *Given a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) , there exists a residual subset of the metrics $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{M}^r$ such that, for all $g \in \Gamma$, the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet problem for operator L_g are simple.*

For the next theorem we consider a bounded domain Ω in M , in this case, the perturbations are given by diffeomorphisms. Further, we assume that $\text{Diff}^r(\Omega)$ is the set of C^r -diffeomorphisms of Ω . It is known that this set is an affine manifold of a Banach space (see [8]). Then, we will show that the following property is generic.

Theorem 2. *Given a bounded domain Ω in a Riemannian manifold (M, g) , the subset of the diffeomorphisms $\mathfrak{D} \subset \text{Diff}^r(\Omega)$ such that all of the eigenvalues of η -Laplacian operator are simple is residual.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let us consider an oriented compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) with boundary ∂M , endowed with a weighted measure of the form $\text{dm} = e^{-\eta} dM$, where $\eta : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function. Now, we define the η -Laplacian operator by $L_g(\cdot) = \Delta_g - g(\nabla\eta, \nabla\cdot)$ which is formally self-adjoint in the Hilbert space $L^2(M, \text{dm})$ provided that all functions on $L^2(M, \text{dm})$ vanish on ∂M . Observe that this allows us to use perturbation theory for linear operators [11]. To do this, we consider the set $\mathcal{M}^r$ of all C^r -Riemannian metrics on M . Then every $g \in \mathcal{M}^r$ determines the sequence $0 = \mu_0(g) < \mu_1(g) \leq \mu_2(g) \leq \dots \leq \mu_k(g) \leq \dots$ of the eigenvalues of L_g counted with their multiplicities. We regard each eigenvalue $\mu_k(g)$ as a function of g in $\mathcal{M}^r$. Note that, in general, the functions $g \rightarrow \mu_k(g)$ are continuous but not differentiable (see [11]). They are differentiable when μ_k is simple. If $d\nu$ is the volume form induced on ∂M we shall write $d\mu = e^{-\eta} d\nu$. With this notation, the divergence theorem remains valid under the form $\int_M Lf \text{dm} = \int_{\partial M} g(\nabla f, \nu) d\mu$. Thus, the formula of integration by parts is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \int_M \ell Lf \text{dm} = - \int_M g(\nabla \ell, \nabla f) \text{dm} + \int_{\partial M} \ell g(\nabla f, \nu) d\mu,$$

for all $f, \ell \in C^\infty(M)$.

We consider the inner product $\langle T, S \rangle = \text{tr}(TS^*)$ induced by g on the space of $(0, 2)$ -tensors on M , where S^* denotes the adjoint tensor of S . Clearly, we have in local coordinates

$$\langle T, S \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k,l} g^{ik} g^{jl} T_{ij} S_{kl}.$$

Furthermore, we have $\Delta_g f = \langle \nabla^2 f, g \rangle$ where $\nabla^2 f = \nabla df$ is the Hessian of f . We also recall that each $(0, 2)$ -tensor T on (M, g) can be associated to a unique $(1, 1)$ -tensor by $g(T(Z), Y) := T(Z, Y)$ for all $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. We shall slightly abuse notation here and will also write T for this $(1, 1)$ -tensor. So, we consider the $(0, 1)$ -tensor given by

$$(\text{div} T)(v)(p) = \text{tr}(w \mapsto (\nabla_w T)(v)(p)),$$

where $p \in M$ and $v \in T_p M$. Moreover, one can define a $(0,1)$ -tensor $div_\eta T$ putting $div_\eta T := div T - d\eta \circ T$.

The next lemma will allow us to use the techniques by Barros and Gomes [2].

Lemma 1. *Let T be a symmetric $(0,2)$ -tensor on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) . Then*

$$(2.2) \quad div_\eta(T(\varphi Z)) = \varphi \langle div_\eta T, Z \rangle + \varphi \langle \nabla Z, T \rangle + T(\nabla \varphi, Z),$$

for each $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and any smooth function φ on M .

Proof. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a local orthonormal frame on (M, g) . Using the properties of div_η and the symmetry of T , for each $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and any smooth function φ on M , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} div_\eta(T(\varphi Z)) &= div_\eta(\varphi T(Z)) = \varphi div_\eta(T(Z)) + g(\nabla \varphi, T(Z)) \\ &= \varphi(div T)(Z) + \varphi \sum_i g(T(\nabla_{e_i} Z), e_i) - \varphi g(\nabla \eta, T(Z)) + T(\nabla \varphi, Z) \\ &= \varphi(div_\eta T)(Z) + \varphi \sum_i g(\nabla_{e_i} Z, T(e_i)) + T(\nabla \varphi, Z) \\ &= \varphi(div_\eta T)(Z) + \varphi \langle \nabla Z, T \rangle + T(\nabla \varphi, Z). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we use the duality $(div_\eta T)(Z) = \langle div_\eta T, Z \rangle$ to conclude the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Let us observe that for every $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ the operator $div_\eta X = div X - g(\nabla \eta, X)$ has the same properties of the operator $div X$ as well as is valid $\int_M div_\eta X dm = \int_{\partial M} g(X, \nu) d\mu$.

In the following, we assume all manifolds to be oriented and those that are compact are assumed to have a boundary.

3. HADAMARD TYPE VARIATION FORMULAS

In this section we consider a smooth variation $g(t)$ of the metric g , so that $(M, g(t), dm_t)$ is a Riemannian manifold with a smooth measure. Denoting by H the $(0,2)$ -tensor given by $H_{ij} = \frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} g_{ij}(t)$ and writing $h = \langle H, g \rangle$, we easily get $\frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} dm_t = \frac{1}{2} h dm$. From now on we shall write in local coordinates $f_i = \partial_i f$. We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and $g(t)$ be a differentiable variation of the metric g . Then, for all $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$, we have*

$$(3.1) \quad L'f = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} dh - div_\eta H, df \right\rangle - \langle H, \nabla^2 f \rangle,$$

where $L' := \frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} L_{g(t)}$.

Proof. Indeed, since $\langle df, d\ell \rangle = g^{ij}(t) f_i \ell_j$, for any $\ell \in C_c^\infty(M)$, and $\frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} g^{ij}(t) = -g^{ik} g^{js} H_{ks}$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} \langle df, d\ell \rangle = -g^{ik} g^{js} H_{ks} f_i \ell_j = -H(g^{ik} f_i \partial_k, g^{js} \ell_j \partial_s) = -H(\nabla f, \nabla \ell).$$

From the formula of integration by parts we have

$$\int_M \ell L_{g(t)} f dm_t = - \int_M \langle d\ell, df \rangle dm_t.$$

Hence, from equation (3.2), we have at $t = 0$

$$(3.3) \quad \int_M \ell L' f \, d\mathbf{m} + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \ell h L f \, d\mathbf{m} = \int_M H(\nabla f, \nabla \ell) \, d\mathbf{m} - \frac{1}{2} \int_M h \langle d\ell, df \rangle \, d\mathbf{m}.$$

Now, applying Lemma 1 for $T = H$, $\varphi = \ell$ and $Z = \nabla f$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad \operatorname{div}_\eta(H(\ell \nabla f)) = \ell \langle \operatorname{div}_\eta H, df \rangle + \ell \langle H, \nabla^2 f \rangle + H(\nabla f, \nabla \ell)$$

Moreover,

$$(3.5) \quad \operatorname{div}_\eta(\ell h \nabla f) = \ell h L f + \ell \langle dh, df \rangle + h \langle d\ell, df \rangle$$

Hence, plugging (3.4) and (3.5) in (3.3), we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \int_M \ell L' f \, d\mathbf{m} = \int_M \ell \left(\frac{1}{2} \langle dh, df \rangle - \langle \operatorname{div}_\eta H, df \rangle - \langle H, \nabla^2 f \rangle \right) \, d\mathbf{m},$$

which concludes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

We consider a smooth function $\eta : I \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and write for simplicity $\dot{\eta} = \frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} \eta(t)$. Then for all $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$ we define

$$\bar{L}_t f := \Delta_t f - g(t)(\nabla \eta(t), \nabla f).$$

Thus,

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} \bar{L}_t f &= \Delta' f - \frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} g^{ij}(t) \eta_i(t) f_j \\ &= \Delta' f - \left(\frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} g^{ij}(t) \right) \eta_i f_j - g^{ij} \partial_i \frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} \eta(t) f_j \\ &= L' f - \langle \nabla \dot{\eta}, \nabla f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The next result extends the Lemma 3.15 of Berger in [6] for the η -Laplacian.

Proposition 1. *Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold. Consider a real analytic one-parameter family of Riemannian structures $g(t)$ on M with $g = g(0)$. If λ is an eigenvalue of multiplicity $m > 1$ for the η -Laplacian L_g , then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, and there exist scalars λ_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and functions ϕ_i varying analytically in t , such that, for all $|t| < \varepsilon$ the following relations hold:*

- (1) $L_{g(t)} \phi_i(t) = \lambda_i(t) \phi_i(t)$;
- (2) $\lambda_i(0) = \lambda$;
- (3) $\{\phi_i(t)\}$ is orthonormal in $L^2(M, d\mathbf{m}_t)$.

Proof. First, let us consider an extension $g(z)$ of $g(t)$ to a domain D_0 of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. So, we consider the operator

$$L_{g(z)} : C^\infty(M; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C^\infty(M; \mathbb{C}),$$

that in a local coordinate system is given by

$$(3.8) \quad L_{g(z)} f = g^{ij}(z) \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \Gamma_{ij}^k(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right),$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(M; \mathbb{C})$, with

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} g^{k\ell} \left(\frac{\partial g_{i\ell}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial g_{j\ell}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x_\ell} \right).$$

Now we observe that the domain $D = H^2(M) \cap H_0^1(M)$ of the operator $L_{g(z)}$ is independent of z , since M is compact, any two metrics are equivalent. Besides, the application $z \mapsto L_{g(z)} f$ is holomorphic for $z \in D_0$ and for every $f \in D$. Thus,

$L_{g(z)}$ is a holomorphic family of type (A) in [11]. Now we need to prove that the operator $L_{g(z)}$ is self-adjoint with fixed inner product, for this purpose, for each t , we can construct an isometry

$$P : L^2(M, \text{dm}) \rightarrow L^2(M, \text{dm}_t)$$

taking, for each u , $P(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\det(g_{ij}(t))}}u$. In fact,

$$\int_M P(u)P(v)\text{dm}_t = \int_M \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij}(t))}}uv\text{dm}_t = \int_M uv\text{dm}.$$

Thus, the operator $\tilde{L}_t := P^{-1} \circ L_t \circ P$ will have the same eigenvalues of $L_t : H^2(M, \text{dm}_t) \cap H_0^1(M, \text{dm}_t) \rightarrow L^2(M, \text{dm}_t)$. But the compactness of the M implies that $\tilde{L}_t$ is self-adjoint, since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M v\tilde{L}_t u \text{dm} &\stackrel{(\text{isom.})}{=} \int_M P(v)L_t P(u)\text{dm}_t = \int_M P(u)L_t P(v)\text{dm}_t \\ &\stackrel{(\text{isom.})}{=} \int_M P^{-1}P(u)P^{-1}L_t P(v)\text{dm} = \int_M u\tilde{L}_t v \text{dm}. \end{aligned}$$

Under these conditions we can apply a theorem due to Rellich [15] or Theorem 3.9 in Kato [11], to obtain the result of the proposition. $\square$

Now, we will derive the first Hadamard type variation formula which generalizes substantially one of Berger's formulas [6].

Proposition 2. *Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold and $g(t)$ be a differentiable variation of the metric g , $\{\phi_i(t)\} \subset C^\infty(M)$ a differentiable family of functions and $\lambda(t)$ a differentiable family of real numbers such that $\lambda_i(0) = \lambda$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$ and for all t*

$$\begin{cases} -\bar{L}_t \phi_i(t) &= \lambda_i(t) \phi_i(t) & \text{in } M \\ \phi_i(t) &= 0 & \text{on } \partial M, \end{cases}$$

with $\langle \phi_i(t), \phi_j(t) \rangle_{L^2(M, \text{dm}_t)} = \delta_{ij}$. Then the derivative of the $t \rightarrow (\lambda_i + \lambda_j)(t)$ is given by

$$(3.9) \quad (\lambda_i + \lambda_j)' \delta_{ij} = \int_M \left\langle \frac{1}{2} L(\phi_i \phi_j) g - 2 d\phi_i \otimes d\phi_j, H \right\rangle \text{dm} + \int_M \langle \nabla \eta, \nabla(\phi_i \phi_j) \rangle \text{dm}.$$

Proof. We first do the case when η does not depend on t . Differentiating the equation $-L_{g(t)} \phi_i(t) = \lambda_i(t) \phi_i(t)$, we have at $t = 0$, $-L' \phi_i - L \phi'_i = \lambda'_i \phi_i + \lambda_i \phi'_i$, so

$$-\int_M (\phi_j L' \phi_i + \phi_j L \phi'_i) \text{dm} = \int_M (\lambda'_i \phi_i \phi_j + \lambda_j \phi_j \phi'_i) \text{dm} = \lambda'_i \int_M \phi_j \phi_i \text{dm} - \int_M \phi'_i L \phi_j \text{dm}.$$

Using integration by parts and the fact that $\phi_i = 0$ on ∂M , we obtain

$$\lambda'_i \delta_{ij} = - \int_M \phi_j L' \phi_i \text{dm}.$$

Thus, writing $s_{ij} = (\lambda'_i + \lambda'_j)$ we deduce from Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned}
-s_{ij}\delta_{ij} &= \int_M \phi_j L' \phi_i dm + \int_M \phi_i L' \phi_j dm \\
&= \int_M (\langle \frac{1}{2} dh - \operatorname{div}_\eta H, \phi_j d\phi_i + \phi_i d\phi_j \rangle - \langle H, \phi_j \nabla^2 \phi_i + \phi_i \nabla^2 \phi_j \rangle) dm \\
&= \int_M \langle \frac{1}{2} dh, d(\phi_i \phi_j) \rangle dm - \int_M \phi_j (\langle \operatorname{div}_\eta H, d\phi_i \rangle + \langle H, \nabla^2 \phi_i \rangle) dm \\
&\quad - \int_M \phi_i (\langle \operatorname{div}_\eta H, d\phi_j \rangle + \langle H, \nabla^2 \phi_j \rangle) dm.
\end{aligned}$$

We next use Lemma 1 and again integration by parts to get

$$(3.10) \quad -s_{ij}\delta_{ij} = - \int_M \frac{h}{2} L(\phi_i \phi_j) dm + 2 \int_M H(\nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j) dm,$$

or equivalently

$$s_{ij}\delta_{ij} = \int_M \langle \frac{1}{2} L(\phi_i \phi_j) g - 2 d\phi_i \otimes d\phi_j, H \rangle dm.$$

In the general case, we differentiate the equation $-\bar{L}_t \phi_i(t) = \lambda_i(t) \phi_i(t)$ at $t = 0$, $-\bar{L}' \phi_i - L \phi'_i = \lambda'_i \phi_i + \lambda_i \phi'_i$, so $-L' \phi_i - L \phi'_i = \lambda'_i \phi_i + \lambda_j \phi'_i - \langle \nabla \dot{\eta}, \nabla \phi_i \rangle$. Thus, we have that

$$\lambda'_i \delta_{ij} = - \int_M \phi_j L' \phi_i dm + \int_M \phi_j \langle \nabla \dot{\eta}, \nabla \phi_i \rangle dm.$$

A calculation analogous to the one above completes the proof. $\square$

In what follows, we assume that $\Omega \subset M$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary and prove the following general formula which generalizes a result of Soulf and Ilias [16].

Proposition 3. *Let (M, g) be a real analytic Riemannian manifold, $\Omega \subset M$ a bounded domain, $f_t : \Omega \rightarrow (M, g)$ a analytic family of diffeomorphisms ($\Omega_t = f_t(\Omega)$) and λ an eigenvalue of multiplicity $m > 1$. Then there exists an analytic family of m functions $\{\phi_i(t)\} \in C^\infty(\Omega_t)$ with $\langle \phi_i(t), \phi_j(t) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega, dm_t)} = \delta_{ij}$ and real numbers $\lambda_i(t)$ with $\lambda_i(0) = \lambda$, such that they are solutions for the Dirichlet problem*

$$\begin{cases} -L\phi_i(t) &= \lambda_i(t)\phi_i(t) & \Omega_t \\ \phi_i(t) &= 0 & \partial\Omega_t, \end{cases}$$

for all $t, i = 1, \dots, m$. Moreover, the derivative of the map $t \mapsto (\lambda_i + \lambda_j)(t)$ is given by

$$(3.11) \quad (\lambda_i + \lambda_j)' \delta_{ij} = -2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle V, \nu \rangle \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial \nu} d\mu,$$

where $V = \frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} f_t$.

Proof. We consider the family of metrics $g(t) = f_t^* g$ on Ω . Thus, considering

$$\bar{L}_t(\phi_i(t) \circ f_t) := \Delta_t(\phi_i(t) \circ f_t) - g(t)(\nabla(\eta \circ f_t), \nabla(\phi_i(t) \circ f_t)),$$

we obtain

$$\bar{L}_t(\phi_i(t) \circ f_t)(p) = -\lambda_i(t) \phi_i(t) \circ f_t(p).$$

For $\bar{\phi}_i(t) = \phi_i(t) \circ f_t$, we have $\forall t$, $\langle \bar{\phi}_i(t), \bar{\phi}_j(t) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega, \text{dm}_t)} = \delta_{ij}$ and

$$\begin{cases} -\bar{L}_t \bar{\phi}_i(t) &= \lambda_i(t) \bar{\phi}_i(t) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \bar{\phi}_i(t) &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Since $\phi_{i,0} \circ f_0(p) = \phi_i(p)$ and $\eta(t) = \eta \circ f_t$, we have by Proposition 2

$$s_{ij} \delta_{ij} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{h}{2} L(\phi_i \phi_j) \text{dm} - 2 \int_{\Omega} H(\nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j) \text{dm} + \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \dot{\eta}, \nabla(\phi_i \phi_j) \rangle \text{dm}.$$

Recall that, $H = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} f_t^* g = \mathcal{L}_V g$ where $V = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} f_t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} s_{ij} \delta_{ij} &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} L(\phi_i \phi_j) \langle g, H \rangle \text{dm} - 2 \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} f_t^* g \right) (\nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j) \text{dm} \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \dot{\eta}, \nabla(\phi_i \phi_j) \rangle \text{dm} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} L(\phi_i \phi_j) \text{div} V \text{dm} - 2 \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_{\nabla \phi_i} V, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \text{dm} \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_{\nabla \phi_j} V, \nabla \phi_i \rangle \text{dm} + \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \dot{\eta}, \nabla(\phi_i \phi_j) \rangle \text{dm}. \end{aligned}$$

But,

$$\langle \nabla_{\nabla \phi_i} V, \nabla \phi_j \rangle = \text{div}_{\eta}(\langle V, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \nabla \phi_i) + \lambda \langle V, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \phi_i - \nabla^2 \phi_j(V, \nabla \phi_i).$$

Since $\lambda = \lambda_i(0) = \lambda_j(0)$ and $\frac{s_{ij}}{2} \delta_{ij} = a_{ij}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= -\lambda \int_{\Omega} \phi_i \phi_j \text{div} V \text{dm} + \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \text{div} V \text{dm} - \int_{\Omega} \text{div}_{\eta}(\langle V, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \nabla \phi_i) \text{dm} \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \langle V, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \phi_i \text{dm} + \int_{\Omega} \nabla^2 \phi_j(V, \nabla \phi_i) \text{dm} - \int_{\Omega} \text{div}_{\eta}(\langle V, \nabla \phi_i \rangle \nabla \phi_j) \text{dm} \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \langle V, \nabla \phi_i \rangle \phi_j \text{dm} + \int_{\Omega} \nabla^2 \phi_i(V, \nabla \phi_j) \text{dm} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \dot{\eta}, \nabla(\phi_i \phi_j) \rangle \text{dm} \\ &= -\lambda \int_{\Omega} \left(\phi_i \phi_j \text{div} V + \langle V, \nabla(\phi_i \phi_j) \rangle \right) \text{dm} - \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle V, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \langle \nabla \phi_i, \nu \rangle \text{d}\mu \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle V, \nabla \phi_i \rangle \langle \nabla \phi_j, \nu \rangle \text{d}\mu + \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \text{div} V \text{dm} + \int_{\Omega} \nabla^2 \phi_j(V, \nabla \phi_i) \text{dm} \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \nabla^2 \phi_i(V, \nabla \phi_j) \text{dm} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \dot{\eta}, \nabla(\phi_i \phi_j) \rangle \text{dm}. \end{aligned}$$

As $\phi_i = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, we have $\nabla \phi_i = \langle \nabla \phi_i, \nu \rangle \nu = \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \nu} \nu$ on $\partial\Omega$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}_{\eta}(\langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j \rangle V) + \langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \langle \nabla \eta, V \rangle &= \text{div}(\langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j \rangle V) \\ &= \langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \text{div} V + \langle \nabla \langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j \rangle, V \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \text{div} V + \nabla^2 \phi_j(V, \nabla \phi_i) \\ &\quad + \nabla^2 \phi_i(V, \nabla \phi_j). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= -\lambda \int_{\Omega} \text{div}(\phi_i \phi_j V) \text{dm} - 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle V, \nu \rangle \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial \nu} \text{d}\mu + \int_{\Omega} \text{div}_{\eta}(\langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j \rangle V) \text{dm} \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \langle \nabla \eta, V \rangle \text{dm} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \dot{\eta}, \nabla(\phi_i \phi_j) \rangle \text{dm}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{ij} &= -\lambda \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\phi_i \phi_j V) \, d\mathbf{m} - 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle V, \nu \rangle \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial \nu} \, d\mu + \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle V, \nu \rangle \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial \nu} \, d\mu \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \langle \nabla \eta, V \rangle \, d\mathbf{m} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \dot{\eta}, \nabla(\phi_i \phi_j) \rangle \, d\mathbf{m} \\
&= - \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle V, \nu \rangle \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial \nu} \, d\mu - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\phi_i \phi_j V) \, d\mathbf{m} \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \langle \nabla \eta, V \rangle \, d\mathbf{m} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \dot{\eta}, \nabla(\phi_i \phi_j) \rangle \, d\mathbf{m}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}_{\eta}(\phi_i \phi_j V) \, d\mathbf{m} = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\phi_i \phi_j V) \, d\mathbf{m} - \int_{\Omega} \phi_i \phi_j \langle \nabla \eta, V \rangle \, d\mathbf{m}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{ij} &= - \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle V, \nu \rangle \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial \nu} \, d\mu \\
(3.12) \quad &+ \int_{\Omega} (\langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j \rangle - \lambda \phi_i \phi_j) \langle \nabla \eta, V \rangle \, d\mathbf{m} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \dot{\eta}, \nabla(\phi_i \phi_j) \rangle \, d\mathbf{m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta(t, p) = \eta \circ f(t, p)$ we have

$$\dot{\eta} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \eta(t, p) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\eta \circ f)(t, p) = d\eta \Big|_{f(0, p)} \cdot \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f_t(p) = d\eta \Big|_p (V) = \langle \nabla \eta, V \rangle.$$

We next use that $\lambda_i(0) = \lambda_j(0) = \lambda$, $L(\phi_i \phi_j) = \phi_i L\phi_j + \phi_j L\phi_i + 2\langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j \rangle$ and integration by parts to calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \dot{\eta}, \nabla(\phi_i \phi_j) \rangle \, d\mathbf{m} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \dot{\eta} L(\phi_i \phi_j) \, d\mathbf{m} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \dot{\eta} \langle \nu, \nabla(\phi_i \phi_j) \rangle \, d\mu \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \eta, V \rangle (\lambda \phi_i \phi_j - \langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j \rangle) \, d\mathbf{m}.
\end{aligned}$$

This computation tells us that the last two terms in (3.12) cancel each other, which concludes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

4. APPLICATIONS

We now turn our attention to two applications of the Hadamard type formulas we derived in the previous section. We first prove the following:

Proposition 4. *Let (M, g_0) be a compact Riemannian manifold and λ an eigenvalue of the η -Laplacian for the Dirichlet problem with multiplicity $m > 1$. Then there exists g in a neighbourhood of g_0 such that the eigenvalues $\lambda(g)$ nearby λ are all simple.*

Proof. We first remark that, since the multiplicity of the eigenvalues does not change along the family of metrics, the existence of the differentiable curves of eigenfunctions and eigenvalues is guaranteed. Consider $g(t) = g + tT$, where T is any symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor T on (M^n, g) . We can choose t sufficiently small so that $g(t)$ is a Riemannian metric and the eigenvalue $\lambda(t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -L_{g(t)} \phi_i(t) &= \lambda(t) \phi_i(t) & \text{in } M \\ \phi_i(t) &= 0 & \text{on } \partial M. \end{cases}$$

Since $H = \frac{d}{dt}g(t) = T$ and $L = L_g$, by Proposition 2 we have

$$(4.1) \quad \lambda' \delta_{ij} = \int_M \left\langle \frac{1}{4} L(\phi_i \phi_j) g - d\phi_i \otimes d\phi_j, T \right\rangle dm.$$

Now, considering the symmetrizer $S = \frac{d\phi_i \otimes d\phi_j + d\phi_j \otimes d\phi_i}{2}$ and using the fact that

$$\langle d\phi_i \otimes d\phi_j, T \rangle = \langle d\phi_j \otimes d\phi_i, T \rangle$$

we deduce the next identity

$$(4.2) \quad \lambda' \delta_{ij} = \int_M \left\langle \frac{1}{4} L(\phi_i \phi_j) g - S, T \right\rangle dm.$$

If $i \neq j$, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{4} L(\phi_i \phi_j) g = S.$$

Furthermore, taking the trace in equation (4.3), we have

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} g(\nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j) &= \frac{n}{4} L(\phi_i \phi_j) = \frac{n}{4} (\phi_i L \phi_j + \phi_j L \phi_i + 2g(\nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j)) \\ &= \frac{n}{2} (-\lambda \phi_i \phi_j + g(\nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j)). \end{aligned}$$

For $n \neq 2$ we can write

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{n\lambda}{n-2} \phi_i \phi_j = g(\nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j).$$

Fixing $p \in M$ we consider an integral curve α in M such that $\alpha(0) = p$ and $\alpha'(s) = \nabla \phi_i(\alpha(s))$. Defining $\beta(s) := \phi_j(\alpha(s))$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \beta'(s) &= \langle \nabla \phi_j(\alpha(s)), \alpha'(s) \rangle = g(\nabla \phi_j, \nabla \phi_i)(\alpha(s)) = \frac{n\lambda}{n-2} \phi_i \phi_j(\alpha(s)) \\ &= \frac{n\lambda}{n-2} \phi_i(\alpha(s)) \beta(s), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, since M is compact. For the case $n = 2$, we have from equation (4.4) that $\phi_i \phi_j = 0$. Then, it follows from the principle of the unique continuation [10] that at least one of the eigenfunctions vanishes, which is again a contradiction. Therefore, we complete the proof of Proposition 4. $\square$

Proposition 5. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and Ω a bounded domain in M . Let λ be an eigenvalue of the η -Laplacian for the Dirichlet problem with multiplicity $m > 1$. There exists a diffeomorphism f in a C^r -neighbourhood, $1 \leq r < \infty$, of the identity id_Ω such that the eigenvalues $\lambda(f)$ nearby λ are all simple.*

Proof. Similarly to what was observed in the proof of Proposition 4, since the multiplicity of the eigenvalues does not change along the family of diffeomorphisms, the existence of the differentiable curves of eigenfunctions and eigenvalues is guaranteed. Note also that in this case Proposition 3 is still valid without the analyticity assumption. Let λ be an eigenvalue of multiplicity $m > 1$. Suppose that for all perturbations by a diffeomorphism of Ω the multiplicity of λ cannot be reduced. Then, it follows from (3.11) that $\frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. This way, we have either $\frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \nu} = 0$ or $\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial \nu} = 0$ in some open set U of $\partial \Omega$. If $\frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \nu} = 0$ in U , since $\phi_i = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, it follows from the unique continuation principle [10] that $\phi_i = 0$ on Ω , which is a contradiction. $\square$

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}_m$ be the set of metrics in $\mathcal{M}^r$ such that the first m eigenvalues of L_g are simple. It is known that if these eigenvalues depend continuously on the metric (see [1]), then for each m the set $\mathcal{C}_m$ is open in $\mathcal{M}^r$. On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 4 that the set $\mathcal{C}_m$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}^r$. Since $\mathcal{M}^r$ is a complete metric space in the C^r -topology the set $\Gamma = \cap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_m$ is dense, which proves this theorem. $\square$

4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. Since $\text{Diff}^r(\Omega)$ is an affine manifold in a Banach space, similar arguments as above allow us to obtain the Theorem 2. $\square$

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to D. Tsonev for useful comments, discussions and constant encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bando, S.; Urakawa, H. *Generic properties of the eigenvalue of the laplacian for compact Riemannian manifolds*. Tohoku Math. J. 35 (1983) 155-172.
- [2] Barros, A.; Gomes, J. N. *A compact gradient generalized quasi-Einstein metric with constant scalar curvature*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 401 (2013) 702-705.
- [3] Barros, A.; Gomes, J. N.; Ribeiro, E. *A note on rigidity of the almost Ricci soliton*. Arch. der Math. 100 (2013) 481-490.
- [4] Barros, A.; Ribeiro Jr, E. *Some characterizations for compact almost Ricci solitons*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012) 1033-1040.
- [5] Besse, A. *Einstein manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2008.
- [6] Berger, M. *Sur les premières valeurs propres des variétés Riemanniennes*. Compos. Math. 26 (1973) 129-149.
- [7] Cao, X.; Hou, S.; Ling, J. *Estimate and monotonicity of the first eigenvalue under the Ricci flow*. Math. Ann. 354 (2012) 451-463.
- [8] Delfour, M. C.; Zolesio, J.-P. *Shapes and Geometries: Analysis, Differential Calculus, and Optimization*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2001.
- [9] Hamilton, R.S. *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*. J. Differential Geom. 17 (1982) 255-306.
- [10] Hormander, L. *Linear partial differential operators*. Springer, New York 1969.
- [11] Kato, T. *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*. Springer, 1980.
- [12] Lott, John. *Some geometric properties of the Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor*. Comment. Math. Helv. 78 (2003) 865-883.
- [13] Ma, Li ; Liu, Baiyu. *Convexity of the first eigenfunction of the drifting laplacian operator and its applications*. New York J. Math. 14 (2008) 393-401
- [14] Perelman, G. *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*. arXiv:math/0211159v1 [math.DG], 2002.
- [15] Rellich, F. *Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung*. Math. Ann. 118 (1940) 462-484.
- [16] Soulf, A.; Ilias, S. *Domain deformations and eigenvalues of the dirichlet laplacian in a Riemannian manifolds*. Illinois J. Math. 51 (2007) 645-666.
- [17] Uhlenbeck, K. *Generic Properties of Eigenfunctions*. Amer. J. Math. 98 (4) (1976) 1059-1078.

^{1,3}DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA-UFAM, 69077-070-MANAUS-AM-BR

E-mail address: ¹jnv Gomes@pq.cnpq.br

E-mail address: ³mesquitaraul532@gmail.com

URL: <http://www.mat.ufam.edu.br>

²CMCC-UFABC, 09210-170-SANTO ANDRÉ-SP-BR

E-mail address: ²marcusmarrocos@gmail.com

URL: <http://cmcc.ufabc.edu.br>